

# I Semester M.B.A. Degree Examination, February 2016 (CBCS) (2014 – 2015 & Onwards) MANAGEMENT

Paper - 1.2 : Organizational Behaviour

Time: 3 Hours

Max. Marks: 70

### SECTION - A

Answer any five of the following. Each question carries five marks.

 $(5 \times 5 = 25)$ 

- 1. "Are the basics of OB applicable only to business organizations"? Discuss.
- 2. Discuss a few determinants of personality.
- 3. What are the principles of Learning? Explain them briefly.
- 4. Bring out the critical differences between Herzberg and McGregor theories of motivation.
- 5. How is the Johari Window useful for college going students?
- 6. Why do people resist change in organizations?
- 7. Draft three questions to capture organizational culture from employees of an organization based on its concept and meaning.

## SECTION-B

Answer any three of the following questions. Each question carries ten marks.

 $(3\times10=30)$ 

- 8. Explain the model of Organizational Behaviour.
- 9. Write a detailed account of the transition in leadership theories.
- 10. How are the various types of conflicts in an organization resolved?
- 11. How are attitudes formed? Analyze the ways and means of changing them among individuals in an organization.



# Semester M.B.A. Dr.OITOSE auton February 2016 (CBCS) (2014 - 2015 & Onwerds)

This is compulsory. It carries fifteen marks.

 $(1 \times 15 = 15)$ 

12. Read the case given below and answer the questions given at the end.

Thirty three years ago, when the new Factory Coneral Manager proposed to all of ps living in the factory residential quarters that we should step consenting also half-lever after office hours); we stortly if was indicated. How can be solved our personal ife is he explained to us that we were that bricke called in the factory at any principal time due to exist a seasily accuse the manager sit under the individues of alcohol. The workers care as the accuse the manager sit of the effect of while in duty and adording the manager at the workers care as the manager sit accuse the power of this approach was solver to us when a volume was sistenced to us when a volume was sistenced to us when a volume was sistenced to accuse the particle of a solve and accuse the particle was sistenced to us when a volume was sistenced the factory to accuse the particle accused.

To be particular the influence of algorical and a legal political decorptions of the factory Clement Manager. The perfect that each particular cut alcohol was not a big on soundate since not object the presentation of alcohol was not a big on soundate since not be also because the present the present the present the present the present that pour device the present the perfect that pour device the present the perfect that pour device the present that are perfectly that also have a present the perfect that are present that are pr

since we always joliowed are in spirit and in letter. This resulation traveled from one Covernment, Office; to the other and soon in 6th dealings with the Grove benefit, no levours were special little at noebineni, ikalavoji s were

And the property of the second second



on alcohol as business expense. After I took over the responsibility as the HR leader, I was not convinced of this approach. If it was clearly disallowed for other leaders in similar situations, as the custodian of organization policies it was not appropriate for me to be an exception. Therefore, for seven consecutive years I personally paid the expenses on alcohol for more than 120 team members each year. It was equally important to let the HR members know that the organization was not paying for these expenses.

I remember a situation when our Chairman asked me whether his son - who had recently done his MBA from abroad could do a summer assignment with us for about two months. My instant response was "No", I explained that given the immaculate image of the Chairman (both within and outside the organization), it was not worth providing and opportunity to raise questions about such an issue. Even more important was that the Chairman accepted this logic and did not pursue the proposal. While we did take summer interns regularly, we wanted to avoid the perception that this summer intern was allowed because he was "Chairman son".

I have been travelling 10-14 days a month for the past 35 years and often get invited by employees at their home to have meals. The frequently asked question is "why don't you get your wife or family when you travel"? There are organizations where very senior members at times allow their spouses to accompany them during the travel. The argument is that this encourages a softer touch to the culture in the organization. I find this problematic on several counts. To begin with, one does not know where to draw the boundaries. I may bear the travel expenses of my spouse but how do I meticulously split several other issues including certain unspoken constraints on work timings etc.? Most importantly, will you allow such things to other employees in the organization? How will the organization monitor several issues associated with this?

### Questions:

- a) Which concepts of OB are covered in the above case? And how do you say that they are covered?
- b) Analyze the individual group and organizational factors in the case.
- c) Can the organization achieve effectiveness under the circumstances? Analyze your answer.